Title

Wikipedia (Discovery Learning)

Evaluators

Ryan Adams

Trent Swaim

Questions	Yes	No	Comments/ Notes
Have you played enough to know every aspect? • Did you try things that were wrong and/or unexpected	*		
Did the software crash?		*	
Was the content appropriate? Did it meet the user's needs?			It's Wikipedia. The content is mostly appropriate, but could become inappropriate depending upon what the user searches for.
Were the screens appealing? • Color, items on screen, sounds ……	*		
Is it easy to navigate?	*		
Is it easy to learn?	*		
Does the user need Supervision to use			They don't *need* it, but should probably have it.
If the App required a response, was it appropriate? (right or wrong)		*	
Were there bells and whistles? • If so, do they enhance instead of detract?	*		They can detract with their attempt

• If not, should there be?			to curate what they believe is relevant information on the explore tab. Does have the ability to save pages and keeps track of users history.
Did you like using the App?	*		
What was the cost? • Was there a "lite" version o If so, was it enough		*	
Were there in-app purchases? • If so, what were the additional costs?		*	
How does it compare to other apps that do the same?			It does the same job as other online encyclopedias, however we also know that anyone can add information to Wikipedia, which decreases its credibility. However, they do have curators that remove false information or flag potential errors.

Evaluating Apps

Additional Comments		*	

Developmentally Appropriate

Questions	Yes	No	Comments/ Notes
Child Development and Learning • Subject Matter appropriate? • Educational Focus? • Provides for Learning New Content? • Follows Appropriate Teaching Sequence?		*	
Individual • Does it match individual's abilities? • Does it meet individual's needs?	*		
Social/Cultural • Bias? • Commercialism Comments	*		The authors of some articles do show immense bias, but these are flagged.